# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 5826-03 <u>Bill No.:</u> HB 2219

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Emergencies

Type: Original

Date: February 7, 2018

Bill Summary: This proposal changes the law regarding the crime of false reports and

creates a civil liability for the crime in certain situations.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND									
FUND AFFECTED FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 20									
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0						

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021				
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 5826-03 Bill No. HB 2219 Page 2 of 6 February 7, 2018

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS								
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021					
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0					

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2019 FY 2020					
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0				

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS								
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021					
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0					

L.R. No. 5826-03 Bill No. HB 2219 Page 3 of 6 February 7, 2018

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** and **Office of Prosecution Services** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state this legislation makes filing a false emergency a crime, an E felony if it results in injury or a D felony if it results in a death. The offense of making a false report, a misdemeanor, garnered 144 convictions in FY17. The department does not know how many of these convictions were related to false emergency calls or how many resulted in injuries, but because of the sizable number the department is using the standard response for a non-violent class E felony.

For a class E felony, the department estimates one person will be sentenced to prison and 2 to probation. The average sentence for a nonviolent Class E offense is 3.4 years of which, 2.2 years will be served in prison while the remaining 1.2 years will be on parole. Probation sentences will be 3 years. The cumulative impact on DOC is 2 additional offenders in prison and 7 on field supervision by FY 2021.

If this impact statement has changed from statements submitted in previous years, it is because the DOC has changed the way probation and parole daily costs are calculated to more accurately reflect the way the Division of Probation and Parole is staffed across the entire state.

In December 2017, the DOC reevaluated the calculation used for computing the Probation and Parole average daily cost of supervision and revised the cost calculation to be used for 2018 fiscal notes. The new calculation estimates the increase/decrease in caseloads at each Probation and Parole district due to the proposed legislative change. For the purposes of fiscal note calculations, the DOC averaged district caseloads across the state and came up with an average caseload of 51 offender cases per officer. The new calculation assumes that an increase/decrease of 51 cases in a district would result in a change in costs/cost avoidance equal to the cost of one FTE staff person in the district. Increases/decreases smaller than 51 offenders are assumed to be absorbable.

In instances where the proposed legislation would only affect a specific caseload, such as sex offenders, the DOC will use the average caseload figure for that specific type of offender to calculate cost increases/decreases.

L.R. No. 5826-03 Bill No. HB 2219 Page 4 of 6 February 7, 2018

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

The DOC cost of incarceration is \$17.003 per day or an annual cost of \$6,206 per offender. The DOC cost of probation or parole is determined by the number of P&P Officer II positions that would be needed to cover the new caseload.

The DOC would assume this legislation will result in long term cost as indicated in the chart below.

							Grand Total -
						Total cost	Prison and
				# to		for	Probation
	# to	Cost per	<b>Total Costs</b>	probation	Cost per	probation	(includes and
	prison	year	for <b>prison</b>	& parole	year	and parole	2% inflation
Year 1	1	(\$6,206)	(\$5,172)	2	absorbed	\$0	(\$5,172)
Year 2	2	(\$6,206)	(\$12,660)	4	absorbed	\$0	(\$12,660)
Year 3	2	(\$6,206)	(\$12,913)	7	absorbed	\$0	(\$12,913)
Year 4	2	(\$6,206)	(\$13,172)	7	absorbed	\$0	(\$13,172)
Year 5	2	(\$6,206)	(\$13,435)	7	absorbed	\$0	(\$13,435)
Year 6	2	(\$6,206)	(\$13,704)	7	absorbed	\$0	(\$13,704)
Year 7	2	(\$6,206)	(\$13,978)	7	absorbed	\$0	(\$13,978)
Year 8	2	(\$6,206)	(\$14,257)	7	absorbed	\$0	(\$14,257)
Year 9	2	(\$6,206)	(\$14,543)	7	absorbed	\$0	(\$14,543)
Year 10	2	(\$6,206)	(\$14,833)	7	absorbed	\$0	(\$14,833)

**Oversight** assumes the impact estimated by DOC to not be material and will not reflect it in the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office (AGO)** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal could be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if there is a significant increase in litigation.

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials from the **Office of State Public Defender** (**SPD**) cannot assume that existing staff will provide effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with the proposed new crime of causing a false emergency response - an escalating offense depending on the result of the false emergency.

L.R. No. 5826-03 Bill No. HB 2219 Page 5 of 6 February 7, 2018

## ASSUMPTION (continued)

While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide effective representation in all cases where the right to counsel attaches.

**Oversight** assumes the SPD can absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** state there may be some impact, but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5826-03 Bill No. HB 2219 Page 6 of 6 February 7, 2018

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of the Attorney General
Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Public Defender
Department of Corrections

Ross Strope

Acting Director February 7, 2018

Com Al

RS:LR:OD